

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA
HUNTINGTON DIVISION**

THE CITY OF HUNTINGTON,

Plaintiff,

v.

**Civil Action No. 3:17-cv-01362
Judge David A. Faber**

**AMERISOURCEBERGEN DRUG
CORPORATION, CARDINAL HEALTH
INC., MCKESSON CORPORATION, and
GREGORY DONALD CHANEY, M.D.,
Defendants.**

**DEFENDANT MCKESSON CORPORATION’S NOTICE REGARDING
POTENTIAL NONPARTY FAULT UNDER W. VA. CODE § 55-7-13d**

Defendant McKesson Corporation (“McKesson”) hereby submits its notice of nonparty fault pursuant to W. Va. Code § 55-7-13d. West Virginia Code § 55-7-13d(a)(2) states that “[f]ault of a nonparty shall be considered . . . if a defending party gives notice no later than one hundred eighty days after service of process upon said defendant that a nonparty was wholly or partially at fault.”

Plaintiff seeks to hold three distributors and a single doctor¹ responsible for an opioid epidemic that the Distributor Defendants, much less any one of them, could not possibly have caused. Plaintiff also seeks to hold Defendants responsible not only for the “opioid epidemic” but also for heroin abuse in the City of Huntington. (*See* Compl. ¶¶ 23-26.)

Distributors, such as McKesson, merely distribute prescription medications from various manufacturers to pharmacies. Distributors have no role in manufacturing or promoting opioids

¹ As stated in the Notice of Removal and the Distributor Defendants’ opposition to Plaintiff’s Motion to Remand, the doctor was fraudulently joined/misjoined.

to physicians; they have no role in determining how opioids should be or are prescribed; and they have no role in how pharmacists dispense opioids. Distributors simply do not set and enforce quotas that limit the amounts of opioids shipped to licensed pharmacies. And distributors have no law enforcement duty or authority over opioids after a licensed pharmacy receives its bulk shipments.

Litigation of Plaintiff's claims, then necessarily involves all persons and entities who were involved in manufacturing, prescribing, dispensing, regulating, and using opioid medications and those involved in the illegal production, sale, and use of heroin and other illegal drugs in the city. If anyone could be apportioned legal liability related to a drug abuse epidemic, nonparties necessarily would be at fault for the injuries alleged in Plaintiff's Complaint.

This case has been stayed pending a ruling on Plaintiff's Motion to Remand, so the parties have not begun discovery. Thus, at this time, McKesson cannot specifically identify nonparties that could be at fault for the injuries alleged in Plaintiff's Complaint. McKesson therefore identifies the categories of individuals and entities who may be nonparties at fault based on their legal obligations. This is the "best identification of the nonparty which is possible under the circumstances." W. Va. Code § 55-7-13d(2). McKesson will supplement this notice once the specific identities of nonparties with potential fault because they can be shown to have violated their legal obligations are discovered.

I. Pharmacies and Pharmacists

McKesson distributes controlled substances only to pharmacies that hold valid licenses from the Drug Enforcement Administration (the "DEA") and in West Virginia, the Board of Pharmacy (the "WVBOP"). Individual pharmacists working at those pharmacies also must be DEA-registered and licensed by the WVBOP. Pharmacies cannot dispense a controlled substance other than in response to a valid prescription from a licensed doctor, and pharmacists

have a “corresponding responsibility” to not fill a prescription that the pharmacist knows was written for other than a medically necessary purpose.²

If Plaintiff has been damaged as a result of prescription opioid and heroin abuse, as Plaintiff alleges, it would be, in part at least, because pharmacies dispensing controlled substances to City of Huntington residents were not complying with their legal obligations when dispensing those controlled substances. Plaintiff, however, fails to identify any pharmacy or pharmacist that allegedly failed to comply with its legal obligations when dispensing controlled substances to City of Huntington residents. Plaintiff also fails to identify any pharmacy that placed suspicious orders that McKesson then allegedly failed to identify and report, although placing suspicious orders is not unlawful and suspicious orders do not necessarily indicate unlawful diversion. Once any pharmacies and their pharmacists are shown to have dispensed controlled substances to City of Huntington residents in violation of their legal obligations, McKesson will supplement this notice with their identities.

Prescribing Practitioners

Prescribing practitioners must be registered with the DEA and licensed by a State medical board. A prescribing physician can write an opioid prescription only for a medically necessary purpose after examining the patient.³

² Although the primary “responsibility for the proper prescribing and dispensing of controlled substances is upon the prescribing practitioner,” DEA regulations place a “corresponding responsibility” on the “pharmacist who fills the prescription.” 21 C.F.R. § 1306.04(a); *see* W. Va. C.S.R. § 15-2-7.4.1 (same). The DEA requires “pharmacists [to] use common sense and professional judgment,” which includes paying attention to the “number of prescriptions issued, the number of dosage units prescribed, the duration and pattern of the alleged treatment,” the number of doctors writing prescriptions, and whether the drugs prescribed have a high rate of abuse. *Ralph J. Bertolino Pharmacy, Inc.*, 55 Fed. Reg. 4,729, 4,730 (DEA Feb. 9, 1990). DEA requires that a pharmacist refuse to dispense when a prescription raises suspicion and its propriety cannot be verified. *Id.*

³ Federal and state regulations require that prescriptions for controlled substances “be issued for a legitimate medical purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of his professional practice.” 21 C.F.R. § 1306.04(a); W. Va. C.S.R. § 15-2-7.4.1 (“issue a prescription for a controlled substance for a legitimate medical purpose in the usual course of his or her professional practice”).

If Plaintiff has been damaged as a result of prescription opioid and heroin abuse, as Plaintiff alleges, it would be, in part at least, because prescribing practitioners wrote prescriptions for controlled substances to City of Huntington residents for other than a medically necessary purpose. Plaintiff, however, fails to identify any prescribing practitioner that allegedly failed to comply with its legal obligations when writing prescriptions for controlled substances to City of Huntington residents. Once any prescribing practitioner is shown to have written prescriptions for controlled substances to City of Huntington residents in violation of the practitioner's legal obligations, McKesson will supplement this notice with the practitioners' identities.

II. Individuals Involved in Illegal Drug Sales

If Plaintiff has been damaged as a result of prescription opioid and heroin abuse, as Plaintiff alleges, it would be, in part at least, because individuals have been involved in illegal sales or sharing of opioid medications, heroin, and/or other illegal drugs within the city. Plaintiff, however, fails to identify any individual involved in illegal drug sales and sharing in the city, and, furthermore, it may not be possible to identify all such individuals. To the extent that such individuals are identified in the course of discovery, McKesson will supplement this notice with their identities.

III. Pharmaceutical Manufacturers

To the extent the opioid medications distributed by McKesson to pharmacies within the city were improperly dispensed because of inadequate instructions or warnings or of false or misleading advertising and promotion by the manufacturers, pharmaceutical manufacturers' actions or omissions contributed to the harms alleged by Plaintiff. Plaintiff, however, fails to

Also, it is unlawful for patients to deceive doctors to obtain opioid prescriptions. *See* W. Va. Code § 60A-4-403(a)(3) (making it unlawful to knowingly or intentionally "obtain possession of a controlled substance by misrepresentation, fraud, forgery, deception, or subterfuge").

identify any pharmaceutical manufacturer whose opioid medications carried inadequate instructions or warnings or which the manufacturer promoted through false or misleading advertising or other form of promotion. To the extent that such pharmaceutical manufacturers are identified in the course of discovery, McKesson will supplement this notice with their identities.

IV. Nonparty Wholesale Pharmaceutical Distributors

McKesson is only one of many wholesale distributors that distribute medications to pharmacies within the City of Huntington. To the extent that excess controlled substances were distributed to the city (*i.e.*, distributed in violation of a distributor's legal obligations, although those obligations do not run to Plaintiff), as alleged by Plaintiff, those controlled substances were distributed, at least in part, by wholesale pharmaceutical distributors other than McKesson or the other Distributor Defendants in this case. McKesson, however, does not have access to the DEA's ARCOS database, which contains the identities of all pharmaceutical distributors who distributed controlled substances into the City of Huntington, and Plaintiff has named only three Distributor Defendants of the many who may have distributed excess controlled substances into the city. McKesson also does not have access to other evidence of potential excess distribution by any other distributor. To the extent that nonparty pharmaceutical distributors who shipped excess amounts of controlled substances into the city in violation of their legal obligations are identified in the course of discovery, McKesson will supplement this notice with their identities.

V. Federal, State, and Local Government Entities

The Food and Drug Administration (the "FDA") comprehensively regulates prescription drugs in the United States. The DEA, through delegated authority, administers and enforces the federal Controlled Substances Act and its implementing regulations, including establishing annual production quotas for schedule II controlled substances, such as opioid medications. The

WVBOP administers and enforces the West Virginia Uniform Controlled Substances Act and its implementing regulations. The WVBOP also administers the State's Prescription Drug Monitoring Program to which pharmacies and prescribing practitioners, but not distributors, report and have access. The West Virginia Board of Medicine, the West Virginia Board of Osteopathic Medicine, the West Virginia Board of Dentistry, and the West Virginia Board of Examiners for Registered Professional Nurses are responsible for licensing and regulating practitioners who prescribe controlled substances to City of Huntington residents. The West Virginia State Police, the Cabell County Sheriff's Department, and the City of Huntington Police Department are responsible for enforcing the laws related to illegal drug sale and use. The Bureau for Medical Services ("BMS") of the West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources administers the West Virginia Medicaid Program with the goal "to improve the quality of care and health outcomes for West Virginia Medicaid members by assuring that the medications prescribed for them are appropriate, *medically necessary*, and not likely to result in adverse medical effects" (emphasis added). BMS requires, *inter alia*, that prior authorization be obtained through the Rational Drug Therapy Program (the "RDT") of the WVU School of Pharmacy before opioids prescribed for chronic pain are reimbursable. The West Virginia Public Employees Insurance Agency (the "PEIA") likewise requires prior authorization through the RDT for certain opioid medications before the medications are reimbursable. As the PEIA's website states, "[a]ll prior authorization requests must be reviewed annually." Other federal and state programs that provide reimbursement for opioid medications may similarly seek to ensure the medical necessity of prescription opioids.

The failure of these federal, state, and local government entities, individually and/or collectively, to take timely and effective enforcement action, caused or contributed to the harms alleged by Plaintiff. Accordingly, McKesson identifies any federal, state, or local government

entity that failed to take timely and effective enforcement action related to the unlawful manufacture, promotion, sale, dispensing, prescribing, and/or diversion of controlled substances, or related to the illegal sale and use of drugs in the City of Huntington as nonparties at fault.⁴

Reservation of Right to Amend After Discovery

Discovery has not yet begun in this case. McKesson therefore reserves its right to amend this notice to add any nonparties that may have whole or partial fault for Plaintiff's alleged harms and supplement this list with the names and addresses of the nonparties at fault once discovery reveals the specific identities of those parties.

Dated this the 19th day of July, 2017.

McKESSON CORPORATION
By Counsel,

/s/Jason L. Holliday
Jeffrey M. Wakefield (WVSB #3894)
Jason L. Holliday (WVSB #12749)
FLAHERTY SENSABAUGH BONASSO, PLLC
200 Capitol Street
Charleston, WV 25301
Telephone: (304) 345-0200
Facsimile: (304) 345-0260

And

Geoffrey Hobart (*pro hac vice* application to be filed)
Matthew Benov (*pro hac vice* application to be filed)
COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
One CityCenter
850 Tenth Street, NW
Washington, DC 20001
Telephone: (202) 662-6000
Counsel to McKesson Corporation

⁴ Governmental entities may be "wholly or partially at fault" under W. Va. Code § 55-7-13d, whether or not they enjoy immunity from suit or otherwise cannot be named as a party defendant.

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA
HUNTINGTON DIVISION**

THE CITY OF HUNTINGTON,

Plaintiff,

v.

**Civil Action No. 3:17-cv-01362
Judge David A. Faber**

**AMERISOURCEBERGEN DRUG
CORPORATION, CARDINAL HEALTH
INC., MCKESSON CORPORATION, and
GREGORY DONALD CHANEY, M.D.,
Defendants.**

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Jason L. Holliday, hereby certify that on the 19th day of July, 2017, I electronically filed the foregoing **“DEFENDANT MCKESSON CORPORATION’S NOTICE REGARDING POTENTIAL NONPARTY FAULT UNDER W. VA. CODE § 55-7-13d”** via the CM/ECF system, which will provide notification and a copy of the filing to the following counsel of record:

Harry F. Bell, Jr., Esq.
The Bell Law Firm
P.O. Box 1723
Charleston, WV 25326

James Dennis Young, Esq.
John A. Yanchunis, Esq.
Patrick A. Barthle, II, Esq.
Morgan & Morgan Complex Litigation Group
Suite 1100
76 South Laura Street
Jacksonville, FL 32202

Mark E. Troy, Esq.
Troy Law Firm
Suite 200A
222 Capitol Street
Charleston, WV 25301

Letitia Neese Chafin, Esq.
H. Truman Chafin, Esq.
The H. Truman Chafin Law Firm
P.O. Box 1799
Williamson, WV 25661

A. L. Emch, Esq.
Adam J. Schwendeman, Esq.
Jackson Kelly
P.O. Box 553
Charleston, WV 25322

Eric W. Sitarchuk, Esq.
Meredith S. Auten, Esq.
Morgan Lewis & Bockius
1701 Market Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103

Brian A. Glasser, Esq.
Raymond S. Franks, II, Esq.
Bailey & Glasser
209 Capitol Street
Charleston, WV 25301-1386

Enu Mainigi, Esq.
F. Lane Heard, III, Esq.
Steven M. Pyser, Esq.
Williams & Connolly
725 Twelfth Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005

John D. Wooton, Esq.
The Wooton Law Firm
P.O. Box 2600
Beckley, WV 25802-2600

/s/Jason L. Holliday
Jason L. Holliday (WVSB #12749)